

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,910	BAKULA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Donald J. Bobak.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2005

Time: 2:20pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Andrea M. Valenti

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment to Claim 8, line 13, "the surface of said second cavity;" was changed to --the surface of said second cavity; a first passageway extending through the foam core through said foam core communicating between said first and second cavities;--. Correct "said foam" or "said core" to read "said foam core".